



UNIVERSITY OF
GUAM
UNIBETSEDÁT GUAHAN

**UNIVERSITY OF GUAM
2017 CAMPUS CLIMATE SURVEY REPORT**

October 2017
Office of the President

Table of Contents

Executive Summary.....	3
Survey Administration.....	5
Survey Respondents and Response Rates.....	6
Results for Specific Questions.....	9
A. Perceptions of Campus Life at UOG.....	9
B. Satisfaction with services.....	12
C. Perceptions of Sexual Misconduct and Willingness to Report Sexual Misconduct.....	14
D. Perceptions of UOG Leadership, Policies, and Reporting Regarding Sexual Misconduct Incidences.....	16
E. Use and Evaluation of Sources of News and Information About UOG.....	18
F. Student Engagement.....	22
G. Preferences Regarding Proposed Solutions to Parking Capacity.....	23
H. Preferences for Proposed Food Vendors.....	25

A Report on the University of Guam 2017 Campus Climate Survey

Executive Summary

An institutional-wide survey of UOG citizens was conducted in April 2017 to assess UOG citizens' perceptions regarding and attitudes toward the general campus climate of the University of Guam. In 2016, the University had administered its first Campus Climate Survey (CCS). Similar to the 2016 CCS, the 2017 CCS's examined UOG citizens' perceptions of campus life and safety; level of satisfaction with services; perceptions of, and willingness to report sexual misconduct; perceptions of leadership, policies, and reporting of sexual misconduct incidences; and demographics. Additionally, the 2017 CCS also included several other question items that were not among those in the 2016 CCS. These included UOG citizens' use and evaluation of sources of news and information about UOG, student engagement, preferences regarding proposed solutions to the campus' parking capacity, and preferences regarding proposed food vendors.

This present document constitutes a report on the outcomes of the 2017 survey, which was administered via the web in April 2017 and included students, faculty, staff, and administrators 18-years-of-age and older. A total of 702 respondents completed the survey, yielding a final response rate of 15.4% of UOG's total population of students and employees. This report is intended to provide immediate feedback to the University community. This report, therefore, is not a definitive analysis of the survey's results. Details regarding the survey's method, sample, and specific findings follow on the subsequent pages of this report.

Perceptions of Campus Life

Similar to the results of the 2016 survey, the results of the 2017 survey suggest that the respondents generally hold positive perceptions regarding campus life at UOG and feel safe when they are on campus. However, like the results of the 2016 survey, the respondents of the 2017 survey expressed concerns that they did not feel safe walking alone on campus after dark, and that they did not know how to contact campus security if or when they needed help.

Satisfaction with Services

As indicated by the mean scores shown below (on a scale of 1 to 5 [1 = very dissatisfied, 5 = very satisfied]), the three aspects that the UOG citizens surveyed were least satisfied with included, in ascending order, cafeteria/food services (mean = 2.61, standard deviation = 1.01), parking (mean = 2.74, standard deviation = 1.11), and the bookstore (mean = 3.11, standard deviation = .98).

Perceptions of Sexual Misconduct and Willingness to Report Sexual Misconduct

A majority of the UOG citizens surveyed (89%) reported that they have not experienced an incident of sexual misconduct at UOG, while 11% said they have.

Those who had reported experiencing an incident of sexual misconduct at UOG were also asked a subsequent question: "If you have ever experienced an incident of sexual misconduct at UOG, who initiated it?" For this question, respondents were given the option to select more than one of the following options: "faculty," "staff," "student," "outsider/individual not affiliated with UOG," and "other." Of the UOG citizens surveyed who answered "Yes" to the question above,

27 reported that “faculty” had initiated the incident, 14 reported that “staff” initiated, 25 reported that “student” initiated, 5 reported that “outsider/individual not affiliated with UOG” had initiated, and 5 reported that other had initiated.

When asked how willing they would be to report an incident of sexual misconduct at UOG if they had experienced it, 9% of the UOG citizens surveyed reported that they will be “very unwilling,” 4% reported that they will be “unwilling,” 20% said they will be “somewhat willing,” 27% reported that they will be “willing,” and 40% reported that they will be “very willing.”

Perceptions of UOG Leadership, Policies, and Reporting Regarding Sexual Misconduct Incidences

The survey measured perceptions of how the University would react if someone were to report a sexual misconduct to a university official (e.g., “If someone were to report a sexual misconduct to a university official, UOG would take the report seriously.”). The mean scores of items in this set were above the mid-point of 3, on a scale of 1 to 5 (1 = strongly disagree, 5 = strongly agree), suggesting that respondents felt the University would be responsive.

A majority of the UOG citizen respondents—i.e., 92%—also reported that they received training in the prevention of sexual assault at UOG. On the other hand, 71% of the UOG citizens surveyed reported that they felt they understood UOG’s formal procedures to address sexual misconduct.

Use and Evaluation of Sources of News and Information About UOG

The 2017 survey also included measures assessing use and evaluation of sources of news and information about UOG.

To measure use of sources, we asked participants how often they used the following sources of news and information about UOG: the UOG.edu web site, UOG faculty, Other UOG students, UOG Undergraduate Catalog or Graduate Bulletin, The Pacific Daily News (or guampdn.com), The Guam Daily Post (or postguam.com), KUAM TV news (or kuam.com), PNC TV news (or pacificnewscenter.com), Facebook, and Twitter. Responses were measured along a five-point scale (1 = never, 5 = very often). Results for responses from the sample of UOG citizens surveyed indicated that the sources of news and information about UOG used the most were, in descending order, the UOG.edu web site (mean = 3.68, standard deviation = 1.06), other UOG students (mean = 3.40, standard deviation = 1.21), and Facebook (mean = 3.02, standard deviation = 1.35).

To measure evaluation of sources, participants were asked how reliable were the above listed sources in providing news and information about UOG? Responses were measured along a five-point scale (1 = never, 5 = very often). Results for responses from the UOG citizens sample indicated that the sources of news and information about UOG used the most were, in descending order, the UOG.edu web site (mean = 3.68, standard deviation = 1.06), other UOG students (mean = 3.40, standard deviation = 1.21), and Facebook (mean = 3.02, standard deviation = 1.35).

Student Engagement

Four items assessed UOG students' levels of student engagement. Only those who identified themselves as "student" were able to respond to the four items. The mean scores for each of the following items were above though around the mid-point of 3, suggesting students were moderately engaged: "During the current school year, about how often have you included diverse perspectives (political, religious, racial/ethnic, gender, etc.) in course discussions or assignments" (mean = 3.45, standard deviation = 1.06); "During the current school year, to what extent have your instructors provided prompt and detailed feedback on tests or completed assignments" (mean = 3.60, standard deviation = .89); "During the current school year, about how often have you prepared for exams by discussing or working through course material with other students" (mean = 3.59, standard deviation = 1.07). However, the mean score for the following item was below the mid-point, suggesting that the University could improve efforts in increasing engagement in students' career plans: "During the current school year, about how often have you talked about career plans with a faculty member" mean = 2.88, standard deviation = 1.13).

Preferences Regarding Proposed Solutions to Parking Capacity

The University is nearing capacity in its space available for parking, and several programs have been proposed to deal with this issue. We assessed which among three proposed solutions to deal with the parking capacity/issue is most preferred by UOG citizens, and the extent to which they would support an additional fee to fund each of the proposed solutions. To measure preference of the proposed solutions, we asked participants to indicate which of the following programs they would support by ranking your choice in the order of most to least preferred; participants ranked their choices by dragging the items in their order of preference, with "1" indicating most preferred and "3" indicating least preferred.

The findings suggest that "Multi-level covered parking structures on campus" was the most preferred choice among the three, respectively followed by "Park 'n Ride program in which a shuttle would bring students to campus from an off-site parking area" and "Ride-Share program in which a carpool system is used." Four-hundred-four (66%) of the UOG citizens surveyed ranking it as their #1, or "most preferred" choice. One-hundred-thirty-two of the UOG citizens surveyed ranked "Park 'n Ride program in which a shuttle would bring students to campus from an off-site parking area" as their #1 choice, while 81 (13%) of UOG citizens surveyed ranked "Ride-Share program in which a carpool system is used" as their #1 choice.

A fee to fund "Multi-level covered parking structures on campus" as a proposed solution to the parking capacity was also supported more frequently than the two other proposed solutions. Specifically, 380 (57%) of the UOG citizens surveyed reported that they will support an additional fee to fund "Multi-level covered parking structures on campus," while 291 (43%) reported they won't support a fee for this proposed solution. On the other hand, 241 (36%) of the UOG citizens surveyed reported that they would be willing to pay an additional fee to fund a Park 'n Ride program (428 [64%] said they will not pay an additional fee to fund this program), while 140 (21%) reported that they would be willing to pay an additional fee to fund a Ride-Share program.

Preferences for Proposed Food Vendors

The University's current Student Center is set to go under construction and will become the new "Student Success Center," and this facility will provide various student services and will include three cafeteria stalls. The 2017 Campus Climate Survey assessed what types of food vendors UOG citizens would prefer for the cafeteria of this new "Student Success Center." We provided the following list of types of food vendors, and asked participants to rank them based on their order of preference, with 1 being "most preferred" and 7 being "least preferred." Burgers and fries vendor, pizza vendor, buffet food choices vendor, soup and salad vendor, submarine sandwiches vendor, express bento box vendor, and vegetarian food vendor.

The results suggest the top three types of food vendors that the UOG citizens sample selected or ranked most frequently as #1 (i.e., "most preferred") included, in descending order, buffet food choices vendor (ranked #1 by 179 UOG citizens), express bento box vendor (ranked #1 by 179 UOG citizens), and submarine sandwiches vendor (ranked #1 by 179 UOG citizens). On the other hand, the mean scores and standard deviations for responses on these items (where a lower mean score or one that is closer to 1 indicating a greater level of preference) suggest that express bento box vendor (mean = 3.08, standard deviation = 1.83) was the most preferred, respectively followed by buffet food choice vendor (mean = 3.17, standard deviation = 1.87), and submarine sandwiches vendor (mean = 3.88, standard deviation = 1.73).

Survey Administration

The 2017 Campus Climate Survey was sponsored by the UOG President's Office.

Because of measurement error, coverage error, sampling error, and response error, surveys are prone to imprecision in their estimates. The Campus Climate Survey Committee was well aware of these four types of errors, and particularly, how the unique contexts of Guam and UOG might impact them for better or worse. The committee employed principles, practices, and strategies suggested by Dillman, Smyth, and Christian's (2014) *Tailored Design Approach* on survey design and implementation as Dillman et al.'s approach has been shown to mitigate errors.

With respect to measurement, the 2017 Campus Climate Survey employed almost identical items as those used in the 2017 survey. These items have been used in similar surveys conducted in other university campuses in the U.S. (e.g., Banyard, Plante, & Moynihan, 2005; Stanford University, 2015; The University of Chicago, 2015). A preliminary final version of the survey was pre-tested in a pilot study of UOG undergraduate students ($n = 6$). Based on the comments and suggestions provided by the students participating in the pilot study, revisions and edits were made to various items, resulting in a preliminary draft of the survey.

The survey was self-administered online using Qualtrics. The online survey included a cover letter prepared by UOG President Underwood. The cover letter contained instructions and a consent statement informing respondents the study had been approved by UOG's Institutional Review Board, and about their rights as a voluntary participant in the study. The cover letter also thanked the respondents for their participation.

In an effort to minimize coverage and sampling errors, and based on the assumption that all UOG citizens, including students, faculty, and staff have a valid triton.uog.edu email address, a

cover letter with the survey link was sent via email to *all* valid triton.uog.edu email addresses. The survey link was open to respondents to complete for about three-week period from April 6, 2017, through April 30, 2017.

With the goal of minimizing response error, several practical strategies similar to those suggested by Dillman, Smyth, and Christian (2014) were employed to increase response: an incentive of winning a free iPad mini was offered; a multiple email contact strategy approach was used, which employed three contacts, or emails from UOG President Robert Underwood; each contact had a appeal; an institutional recruitment strategy that requested assistance from opinion leaders and gatekeepers in the university—e.g., administrators such as deans and directors, student leaders, key faculty, administrative assistants—to inform and remind students, faculty, and staff to complete the surveys.

Assuming that respondents may not have accessed the survey via their triton.uog.edu email address, the link to the survey was also provided in this additional recruitment strategy.

Survey Respondents and Response Rates

Eight-hundred and twelve respondents had started to complete the survey. However, after removing surveys that were left completely blank and surveys that with a majority of items left unfinished, this left a total of 702 respondents, resulting in a final response rate of 15.4%. While not ideal, and below the response rates of other recent climate surveys conducted in other U.S. mainland institutions (e.g., Stanford University, 2015), our final response rate is higher than that of the typical rates for Web surveys (see Dillman et al., 2014). The breakdowns of our respondents by sex and title are reported on the table below.

While the additional institutional recruitment strategy of using deans and directors, student leaders, key faculty, administrative assistants to inform and remind students, faculty, and staff to complete the surveys outside of the email that was sent to their triton.uog.edu account may have improved the response rate, this approach had limited the ability to calculate a conservative response rate. As such, the estimated response rates presented on the table below were calculated simply by dividing the numbers of those who responded by the numbers of those in the actual population.

Our sample was skewed with more females than males. Females made up roughly 61% ($n = 427$) of our UOG citizen sample and far outnumbered males ($n = 223$). By comparison, data from the UOG Human Resources Office and the UOG Academic and Student Affairs, Office of Academic Assessment and Institutional Research suggest that the true UOG citizen population—all employees and students—comprises of 2,486 females and 1,971 males, with females making up 56% of the total UOG citizen population.

Respondents by sex and title¹

Subpopulation	Sex	Number responding to survey	Approximate number in UOG population for Spring 2016	Estimated response rate
<i>Students</i>	Male	135	1,565 ²	8.6%
	Female	264	2,109	12.5%
	Identifying as neither male nor female ³	6	Data not available	--
	Total	405	3,674	11.0%
<i>Faculty</i>	Male	46	184 (includes full-time and adjuncts) ⁴	25.0%
	Female	53	199 (includes full-time and adjuncts)	26.6%
	Identifying as neither male nor female	1	Data not available	--
	Total	100	383 (includes full-time and adjuncts)	26.1%
<i>Staff</i>	Male	43	291 (includes full-time and part-time staff)	14.8%
	Female	104	161 (includes full-time and part-time staff)	64.6%
	Identifying as neither male nor female	0	Data not available	--
	Total	147	452	26.1%
<i>Administrator</i>	Male	10	23	43.4%
	Female	13	17	76.5%
	Identifying as neither male nor female	0	Data not available	--
	Total	23	40	57.5%
<i>Other</i>	Male	5	Data not available	--
	Female	10	Data not available	--
	Identifying as neither male nor female	1	Data not available	--
	Total	16	--	--
Grand total	Male	223	2,063	10.8%
	Female	427	2,486	17.2%
	Identifying as neither male nor female	8	Data not available	--
	No response to "Sex" question item	44	--	--
	Total	702	4,549	15.4%

¹ Note that this question listed the option for respondents to check all titles that applied to them. Therefore, it was possible for respondents to be counted more than once for a given title. However, for the "Grand Total," it was possible only to count respondents once.

² Source for student population data: UOG Academic and Student Affairs, Office of Academic Assessment and Institutional Research.

³ Respondents who selected one of the following options listed on this survey question were categorized as "Identifying as neither male nor female," "Transgender," "Transsexual," "Nonbinary/genderqueer/gender nonconforming," or "Other."

⁴ Source for faculty, staff, and administrator employee data: UOG Human Resources Office. Special thanks to Larry Gamboa.

Results for Specific Questions

This report contains the results from the five specific sets of questions, giving an overview of the respondents' perceptions of campus life and safety; level of satisfaction with services; perceptions of, and willingness to report sexual misconduct; perceptions of leadership, policies, and reporting of sexual misconduct incidences; use and evaluation of sources of news and information about UOG; and student engagement.

The numbers and percentages of *respondents* who have reported such perceptions, attitudes, or experiences of particular incidences are presented in the tables below. As with results from similar surveys conducted at other institutions, these numbers and percentages should be understood only to represent the perceptions, attitudes, or experiences of particular incidences *among the students, faculty, staff, and administrators, who responded to the survey.*

For comparative purposes, we have also presented responses for each of the subgroups of UOG citizens—students only, faculty only, staff only, and administrators only.

A. Perceptions of Campus Life and Safety at UOG

1. The University of Guam provides quality degree programs.

	Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neutral	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	25 (4%)	44 (6%)	117 (16%)	314 (45%)	202 (29%)	702 (100%)	3.89 (1.01)
Students only	14 (3%)	30 (7%)	74 (17%)	196 (46%)	113 (27%)	427 (100%)	3.85 (1.00)
Faculty only	1 (1%)	5 (5%)	16 (14%)	58 (52%)	32 (29%)	112 (100%)	4.03 (.83)
Staff only	9 (6%)	8 (5%)	31 (20%)	61 (39%)	47 (30%)	156 (100%)	3.83 (1.10)
Administrators only	1 (4%)	2 (8%)	0 (0%)	9 (38%)	12 (50%)	24 (100%)	4.21 (1.10)

2. I feel valued in the classroom/learning environment.

	Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neutral	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	25 (4%)	51 (7%)	164 (24%)	274 (39%)	182 (26%)	696 (100%)	3.77 (1.03)
Students only	15 (4%)	38 (9%)	85 (20%)	181 (42%)	107 (25%)	426 (100%)	3.77 (1.04)
Faculty only	3 (3%)	5 (4%)	13 (12%)	46 (42%)	43 (39%)	110 (100%)	4.10 (.97)
Staff only	8 (5%)	11 (7%)	62 (40%)	46 (30%)	27 (18%)	154 (100%)	3.47 (1.03)
Admin. only	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	7 (30%)	8 (35%)	8 (35%)	23 (100%)	4.04 (.83)

3. I feel valued at the University in general.

	Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neutral	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	34 (5%)	83 (12%)	183 (26%)	262 (37%)	139 (20%)	701 (100%)	3.77 (1.03)
Students only	21 (5%)	52 (12%)	126 (30%)	148 (34%)	80 (19%)	427 (100%)	3.50 (1.08)
Faculty only	3 (3%)	13 (11%)	13 (11%)	54 (48%)	29 (26%)	112 (100%)	3.83 (1.03)
Staff only	11 (7%)	21 (13%)	41 (27%)	54 (35%)	28 (18%)	155 (100%)	3.43 (1.15)
Admin. only	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (17%)	11 (46%)	9 (37%)	24 (100%)	4.21 (.72)

4. I feel that ethnic diversity is respected on campus.

	Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neutral	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	24 (3%)	49 (7%)	101 (4%)	258 (37%)	269 (38%)	701 (100%)	3.55 (1.83)
Students only	12 (3%)	25 (6%)	63 (15%)	146 (34%)	181 (42%)	427 (100%)	4.07 (1.03)
Faculty only	6 (5%)	11 (10%)	9 (8%)	46 (41%)	40 (36%)	112 (100%)	3.92 (1.15)
Staff only	7 (4%)	12 (8%)	31 (20%)	61 (39%)	44 (28%)	155 (100%)	3.79 (1.08)
Admin. only	0 (0%)	2 (8%)	1 (4%)	11 (46%)	10 (42%)	24 (100%)	4.21 (.88)

5. I feel that women are treated equally as men on campus.

	Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neutral	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	35 (5%)	58 (8%)	118 (17%)	247 (35%)	242 (35%)	700 (100%)	3.86 (1.13)
Students only	13 (3%)	26 (6%)	75 (18%)	142 (33%)	171 (40%)	427 (100%)	4.01 (1.05)
Faculty only	13 (12%)	18 (16%)	9 (8%)	40 (36%)	32 (29%)	112 (100%)	3.54 (1.36)
Staff only	10 (6%)	16 (10%)	33 (21%)	59 (38%)	36 (23%)	154 (100%)	3.62 (1.14)
Admin. only	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (13%)	11 (46%)	10 (42%)	24 (100%)	4.29 (.69)

6. I feel that people of all sexual orientations are respected on campus.

	Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neutral	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	21 (3%)	40 (6%)	145 (21%)	239 (34%)	256 (36%)	701 (100%)	3.95 (1.03)
Students only	8 (2%)	22 (5%)	79 (19%)	132 (30%)	186 (44%)	427 (100%)	4.09 (1.00)
Faculty only	4 (3%)	10 (9%)	26 (23%)	39 (35%)	33 (30%)	112 (100%)	3.78 (1.08)
Staff only	8 (5%)	9 (6%)	41 (27%)	62 (40%)	35 (27%)	155 (100%)	3.69 (1.05)
Admin. only	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (4%)	12 (50%)	11 (46%)	24 (100%)	4.42 (.58)

7. I think UOG faculty are genuinely concerned about my welfare.

	Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neutral	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	42 (6%)	90 (13%)	211 (30%)	243 (35%)	108 (16%)	694 (100%)	3.41 (1.09)
Students only	24 (6%)	65 (15%)	120 (28%)	150 (35%)	67 (16%)	426 (100%)	3.40 (1.10)
Faculty only	5 (5%)	7 (6%)	24 (22%)	49 (45%)	24 (22%)	109 (100%)	3.73 (1.02)
Staff only	14 (9%)	19 (12%)	54 (35%)	43 (28%)	24 (16%)	154 (100%)	3.29 (1.15)
Admin. only	0 (0%)	1 (4%)	11 (48%)	10 (44%)	1 (4%)	23 (100%)	3.48 (.67)

8. I think UOG staff are genuinely concerned about my welfare.

	Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neutral	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	38 (6%)	96 (14%)	213 (30%)	245 (35%)	108 (15%)	700 (100%)	3.41 (1.07)
Students only	26 (6%)	78 (18%)	140 (33%)	129 (30%)	54 (13%)	427 (100%)	3.25 (1.08)
Faculty only	5 (4%)	4 (4%)	28 (25%)	51 (46%)	23 (21%)	111 (100%)	3.75 (.98)
Staff only	8 (5%)	17 (11%)	43 (28%)	55 (35%)	33 (21%)	156 (100%)	3.56 (1.10)
Admin. only	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (9%)	16 (69%)	5 (22%)	23 (100%)	4.13 (.55)

9. I think UOG administrators are genuinely concerned about my welfare.

	Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neutral	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	64 (9%)	116 (17%)	221 (32%)	213 (31%)	85 (13%)	699 (100%)	3.20 (1.13)
Students only	40 (9%)	78 (18%)	145 (34%)	119 (28%)	45 (11%)	427 (100%)	3.12 (1.12)
Faculty only	10 (9%)	16 (14%)	30 (27%)	41 (37%)	14 (13%)	111 (100%)	3.30 (1.14)
Staff only	17 (11%)	21 (13%)	46 (30%)	49 (32%)	22 (14%)	155 (100%)	3.25 (1.19)
Admin. only	0 (0%)	2 (9%)	2 (9%)	14 (61%)	5 (21%)	23 (100%)	3.96 (.83)

10. I am happy to be at this university.

	Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neutral	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	16 (2%)	24 (3%)	121 (17%)	259 (37%)	281 (40%)	701 (100%)	4.09 (.95)
Students only	9 (2%)	16 (4%)	87 (20%)	175 (41%)	140 (33%)	427 (100%)	3.99 (.93)
Faculty only	1 (1%)	4 (3%)	10 (9%)	41 (37%)	55 (50%)	111 (100%)	4.31 (.85)
Staff only	6 (4%)	6 (4%)	25 (16)	43 (28%)	76 (49%)	156 (100%)	4.13 (1.07)
Admin. only	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (4%)	8 (33%)	15 (63%)	24 (100%)	4.58 (.58)

11. Overall, I feel safe when I am on the UOG campus.

	Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neutral	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	20 (3%)	49 (7%)	95 (14%)	284 (41%)	250 (35%)	698 (100%)	4.00 (1.02)
Students only	12 (3%)	37 (9%)	60 (14%)	181 (42%)	137 (32%)	427 (100%)	3.92 (1.03)
Faculty only	1 (1%)	3 (3%)	12 (11%)	41 (37%)	53 (48%)	110 (100%)	4.29 (.84)
Staff only	8 (5%)	12 (8%)	22 (14%)	60 (39%)	52 (34%)	154 (100%)	3.88 (1.12)
Admin. only	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (8%)	10 (42%)	12 (50%)	24 (100%)	4.42 (.65)

12. I feel safe in the classroom.

	Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neutral	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	9 (1%)	14 (2%)	106 (15%)	232 (34%)	331 (48%)	692 (100%)	4.25 (.88)
Students only	4 (1%)	9 (2%)	42 (10%)	158 (37%)	212 (50%)	426 (100%)	4.33 (.81)
Faculty only	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	9 (8%)	32 (29%)	67 (62%)	109 (100%)	4.50 (.73)
Staff only	5 (3%)	5 (3%)	49 (32%)	44 (29%)	49 (32%)	152 (100%)	3.84 (1.03)
Admin. only	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6 (26%)	5 (22%)	12 (52%)	23 (100%)	4.26 (.86)

13. I feel safe walking alone on campus after dark.

	Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neutral	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	106 (15%)	165 (24%)	145 (21%)	180 (26%)	103 (14%)	699 (100%)	3.01 (1.30)
Students only	66 (16%)	106 (25%)	95 (22%)	105 (24%)	54 (13%)	426 (100%)	2.94 (1.27)
Faculty only	16 (14%)	16 (14%)	16 (14%)	31 (28%)	32 (29%)	111 (100%)	3.42 (1.41)
Staff only	25 (16%)	39 (25%)	33 (21%)	43 (28%)	15 (10%)	155 (100%)	2.90 (1.25)
Admin. only	2 (8%)	6 (25%)	4 (17%)	9 (38%)	3 (12%)	24 (100%)	3.21 (1.22)

14. I feel safe from any violent attacks.

	Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neutral	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	57 (8%)	124 (18%)	170 (24%)	211 (30%)	137 (20%)	699 (100%)	3.35 (1.21)
Students only	31 (7%)	74 (17%)	117 (27%)	122 (29%)	82 (19%)	426 (100%)	3.35 (1.18)
Faculty only	10 (9%)	10 (9%)	19 (17%)	37 (34%)	34 (31%)	110 (100%)	3.68 (1.26)
Staff only	18 (11%)	36 (23%)	33 (21%)	50 (32%)	19 (12%)	156 (100%)	3.10 (1.22)
Admin. only	1 (4%)	5 (21%)	1 (4%)	11 (46%)	6 (25%)	24 (100%)	3.67 (1.20)

15. I know how to contact campus security if or when I need help.

	Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neutral	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	87 (12%)	126 (18%)	130 (19%)	130 (28%)	193 (23%)	700 (100%)	3.32 (1.34)
Students only	58 (14%)	80 (19%)	96 (23%)	110 (26%)	83 (19%)	427 (100%)	3.19 (1.32)
Faculty only	12 (11%)	22 (20%)	17 (16%)	29 (26%)	30 (27%)	110 (100%)	3.39 (1.36)
Staff only	19 (12%)	24 (15%)	19 (12%)	47 (30%)	47 (30%)	156 (100%)	3.51 (1.38)
Admin. only	1 (4%)	2 (8%)	1 (4%)	10 (42%)	10 (42%)	24 (100%)	4.08 (1.10)

B. Satisfaction with services

1. The UOG website (uog.edu)

	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	15 (2%)	60 (8%)	160 (23%)	351 (51%)	100 (16%)	686 (100%)	3.67 (.91)
Students only	4 (1%)	25 (6%)	89 (21%)	229 (55%)	69 (17%)	416 (100%)	3.18 (.82)
Faculty only	5 (4%)	16 (15%)	28 (26%)	52 (48%)	8 (7%)	109 (100%)	3.39 (.98)
Staff only	6 (4%)	16 (10%)	41 (27%)	72 (47%)	19 (12%)	154 (100%)	3.53 (.97)
Admin. only	1 (4%)	5 (21%)	6 (25%)	8 (33%)	4 (17%)	24 (100%)	3.38 (1.14)

2. Students' Organizations and Clubs

	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	8 (1%)	43 (6%)	314 (46%)	240 (36%)	78 (11%)	683 (100%)	3.49 (.82)
Students only	3 (1%)	24 (6%)	171 (41%)	158 (38%)	60 (14%)	416 (100%)	3.60 (.83)
Faculty only	2 (2%)	10 (9%)	55 (50%)	37 (34%)	5 (5%)	109 (100%)	3.30 (.78)
Staff only	5 (3%)	8 (5%)	81 (53%)	42 (28%)	16 (11%)	152 (100%)	3.37 (.87)
Admin. only	0 (0%)	1 (4%)	12 (52%)	9 (39%)	1 (4%)	23 (100%)	3.43 (.66)

3. Cafeteria/Food Services

	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	106 (16%)	188 (28%)	268 (39%)	99 (14%)	19 (3%)	680 (100%)	2.61 (1.01)
Students only	62 (15%)	106 (25%)	157 (38%)	74 (18%)	16 (4%)	415 (100%)	2.70 (1.05)
Faculty only	12 (11%)	30 (28%)	52 (49%)	10 (10%)	2 (2%)	106 (100%)	2.62 (.88)
Staff only	33 (21%)	47 (31%)	53 (35%)	18 (12%)	1 (.7%)	152 (100%)	2.39 (.98)
Admin. only	4 (17%)	7 (29%)	11 (46%)	2 (8%)	0 (0%)	24 (100%)	2.46 (.88)

4. Bookstore

	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	36 (5%)	138 (20%)	270 (40%)	192 (28%)	45 (7%)	681 (100%)	3.11 (.98)
Students only	13 (3%)	86 (21%)	149 (36%)	132 (32%)	34 (8%)	414 (100%)	3.21 (.97)
Faculty only	15 (14%)	26 (24%)	41 (38%)	22 (21%)	3 (3%)	107 (100%)	2.74 (1.03)
Staff only	7 (5%)	28 (18%)	75 (49%)	34 (22%)	9 (6%)	153 (100%)	3.07 (.91)
Admin. only	2 (8%)	5 (21%)	10 (42%)	5 (21%)	2 (8%)	24 (100%)	3.00 (1.06)

5. Business Office/Services (e.g., Cashier's office, HRO's office)

	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	44 (6%)	122 (18%)	253 (37%)	213 (31%)	53 (8%)	685 (100%)	3.16 (1.02)
Students only	24 (6%)	70 (17%)	153 (37%)	134 (32%)	35 (8%)	416 (100%)	3.21 (1.01)
Faculty only	9 (8%)	20 (19%)	39 (36%)	34 (31%)	6 (6%)	108 (100%)	3.07 (1.03)
Staff only	13 (8%)	29 (19%)	58 (38%)	41 (27%)	13 (8%)	154 (100%)	3.08 (1.06)
Admin. only	0 (0%)	8 (34%)	7 (29%)	7 (29%)	2 (8%)	24 (100%)	3.13 (.99)

6. Academic Advisement in my division, school, or college

	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Students only	21 (5%)	46 (11%)	118 (28%)	142 (34%)	89 (21%)	416 (100%)	3.56 (1.10)
Faculty only	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Staff only	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Admin. only	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

7. Computer labs

	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	16 (2%)	51 (8%)	254 (37%)	263 (39%)	99 (14%)	683 (100%)	3.55 (.91)
Students only	13 (3%)	31 (8%)	114 (28%)	181 (44%)	76 (18%)	415 (100%)	3.67 (.96)
Faculty only	2 (2%)	13 (12%)	60 (57%)	25 (23%)	8 (7%)	108 (100%)	3.22 (.82)
Staff only	2 (1%)	11 (7%)	72 (47%)	51 (33%)	18 (12%)	154 (100%)	3.47 (.84)
Admin. only	0 (0%)	1 (4%)	12 (50%)	10 (42%)	1 (4%)	24 (100%)	3.46 (.66)

8. Wi-Fi access

	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	40 (6%)	102 (15%)	147 (22%)	276 (40%)	119 (17%)	684 (100%)	3.49 (1.12)
Students only	13 (3%)	31 (7%)	114 (28%)	181 (44%)	76 (18%)	415 (100%)	3.61 (1.10)
Faculty only	7 (6%)	22 (20%)	22 (20%)	42 (39%)	16 (15%)	109 (100%)	3.35 (1.15)
Staff only	13 (8%)	24 (16%)	39 (25%)	55 (36%)	23 (15%)	154 (100%)	3.33 (1.16)
Admin. only	1 (4%)	2 (9%)	10 (44%)	9 (39%)	1 (4%)	23 (100%)	3.30 (.88)

9. Student Services/Enrollment Management Student Services (EMSS)

	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	23 (3%)	54 (8%)	365 (54%)	181 (27%)	57 (8%)	680 (100%)	3.29 (.86)
Students only	13 (3%)	33 (8%)	211 (51%)	115 (28%)	41 (10%)	413 (100%)	3.33 (.88)
Faculty only	3 (3%)	11 (10%)	72 (67%)	16 (15%)	6 (6%)	108 (100%)	3.10 (.76)
Staff only	10 (6%)	12 (8%)	78 (51%)	41 (27%)	12 (8%)	153 (100%)	3.22 (.94)
Admin. only	0 (0%)	2 (9%)	12 (52%)	8 (35%)	1 (4%)	23 (100%)	3.35 (.71)

10. Parking

	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very Satisfied	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	115 (17%)	158 (23%)	228 (33%)	157 (23%)	27 (4%)	685 (100%)	2.74 (1.11)
Students only	85 (20%)	85 (20%)	156 (38%)	78 (19%)	12 (3%)	416 (100%)	2.63 (1.09)
Faculty only	10 (9%)	35 (32%)	29 (27%)	26 (24%)	9 (8%)	109 (100%)	2.90 (1.12)
Staff only	28 (18%)	37 (24%)	43 (28%)	41 (27%)	5 (3%)	153 (100%)	2.73 (1.14)
Admin. only	1 (4%)	4 (17%)	7 (29%)	12 (50%)	0 (0%)	24 (100%)	3.25 (.90)

C. Perceptions of Sexual Misconduct and Willingness to Report Sexual Misconduct⁵

1a. Have you ever experienced an incident of sexual misconduct at UOG?

	Yes	No	Total
UOG Citizens	75 (11%)	600 (89%)	675 (100%)
Students only	29 (7%)	383 (93%)	412 (100%)
Faculty only	18 (18%)	89 (82%)	108 (100%)
Staff only	25 (17%)	125 (83%)	150 (100%)
Admin. only	4 (18%)	18 (82%)	22 (100%)

1b. If you have you ever experienced an incident of sexual misconduct at UOG, who initiated it?

	Faculty initiated	Staff initiated	Student	Outsider/ Individual not affiliated with UOG initiated	Other initiated
UOG Citizens	27	14	25	5	5
Students only	4	2	16	2	2
Faculty only	12	2	4	1	0
Staff only	6	10	7	2	2
Admin. only	4	0	1	0	0

⁵ Note that the following definition of sexual misconduct was presented to respondents on a page on the survey prior to presenting the question items measuring perceptions of sexual misconduct and willingness to report sexual misconduct:

Sexual misconduct is a broad term that encompasses sexual harassment, sexual assault, and sex offenses, and may also include domestic violence, dating violence, stalking, and inappropriate amorous relationships.

Examples of sexual misconduct include the following:

- Using explicit or implied sexual language that includes but is not limited to profanity,
- Sexual innuendo, spreading sexual rumors, sexual jokes
- Posting sexually denigrating pictures
- Touching the body when unwelcome (e.g., brushing, patting, pinching, non-consensual sexual intercourse)

2. Have you ever reported an incident of sexual misconduct to the University of Guam?

	Yes	No	Total
UOG Citizens	33 (5%)	639 (95%)	672 (100%)
Students only	12 (3%)	398 (97%)	410 (100%)
Faculty only	9 (8%)	98 (92%)	107 (100%)
Staff only	7 (5%)	143 (95%)	150 (100%)
Admin. only	4 (18%)	18 (82%)	22 (100%)

3. Do you feel confident that you will not be sexually mistreated by students at UOG?

	Yes	No	Total
UOG Citizens	550 (82%)	121 (18%)	671 (100%)
Students only	335 (81%)	77 (19%)	412 (100%)
Faculty only	91 (86%)	15 (14%)	106 (100%)
Staff only	116 (78%)	32 (22%)	148 (100%)
Admin. only	20 (91%)	2 (9%)	22 (100%)

4. Do you feel confident that you will not be sexually mistreated by faculty at UOG?

	Yes	No	Total
UOG Citizens	532 (80%)	137 (21%)	669 (100%)
Students only	330 (81%)	80 (19%)	410 (100%)
Faculty only	84 (79%)	22 (21%)	106 (100%)
Staff only	112 (76%)	36 (24%)	148 (100%)
Admin. only	18 (82%)	4 (18%)	22 (100%)

5. Do you feel confident that you will not be sexually mistreated by staff at UOG?

	Yes	No	Total
UOG Citizens	549 (82%)	122 (18%)	671 (100%)
Students only	345 (84%)	67 (16%)	412 (100%)
Faculty only	84 (79%)	22 (21%)	106 (100%)
Staff only	114 (77%)	34 (23%)	148 (100%)
Admin. only	19 (86%)	3 (14%)	22 (100%)

6. If you were to experience an incident of sexual misconduct at UOG, how willing would you be to report it to UOG authorities?

	Very Unwilling	Unwilling	Somewhat Willing	Willing	Very Willing	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	63 (9%)	24 (4%)	131 (20%)	177 (27%)	274 (40%)	669 (100%)	4.77 (1.49)
Students only	24 (6%)	15 (4%)	87 (21%)	103 (25%)	182 (44%)	411 (100%)	4.92 (1.32)
Faculty only	16 (15%)	4 (4%)	17 (16%)	27 (26%)	41 (39%)	105 (100%)	4.54 (1.72)
Staff only	19 (13%)	9 (6%)	29 (20%)	40 (27%)	51 (34%)	148 (100%)	4.51 (1.62)
Admin. only	6 (27%)	3 (14%)	0 (0%)	8 (36%)	5 (23%)	22 (100%)	4.00 (1.98)

D. Perceptions of UOG Leadership, Policies, and Reporting Regarding Sexual Misconduct Incidences

1. If someone were to report a sexual misconduct to a university official, UOG would take the report seriously.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	17 (3%)	37 (6%)	37 (14%)	266 (40%)	253 (37%)	670 (100%)	4.05 (.99)
Students only	9 (2%)	27 (7%)	67 (16%)	172 (42%)	135 (33%)	410 (100%)	3.97 (.98)
Faculty only	5 (5%)	6 (6%)	12 (11%)	43 (40%)	40 (38%)	106 (100%)	4.01 (1.07)
Staff only	5 (3%)	7 (5%)	19 (13%)	52 (35%)	66 (44%)	149 (100%)	4.12 (1.03)
Admin. only	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5 (23%)	17 (77%)	22 (100%)	4.77 (.43)

2. If someone were to report a sexual misconduct to a university official, UOG would protect the confidentiality of the alleged survivor/victim.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	23 (3%)	42 (6%)	136 (20%)	260 (39%)	207 (31%)	668 (100%)	3.88 (1.03)
Students only	15 (4%)	26 (6%)	93 (23%)	159 (39%)	116 (28%)	409 (100%)	3.82 (1.03)
Faculty only	5 (5%)	6 (6%)	21 (20%)	49 (46%)	24 (23%)	105 (100%)	3.77 (1.02)
Staff only	4 (3%)	13 (9%)	25 (17%)	54 (36%)	53 (35%)	149 (100%)	3.93 (1.06)
Admin. only	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (5%)	6 (27%)	15 (68%)	22 (100%)	4.64 (.58)

3. If someone were to report a sexual misconduct to a university official, UOG would take steps to ensure the safety of the person making the report.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	15 (2%)	38 (6%)	139 (21%)	278 (42%)	196 (29%)	666 (100%)	3.90 (.96)
Students only	7 (2%)	26 (6%)	93 (23%)	174 (43%)	107 (26%)	407 (100%)	3.86 (.94)
Faculty only	4 (4%)	6 (6%)	19 (18%)	50 (47%)	27 (25%)	106 (100%)	3.85 (.99)
Staff only	4 (3%)	8 (5%)	29 (20%)	58 (39%)	49 (33%)	148 (100%)	3.95 (1.00)
Admin. only	0 (0%)	1 (4%)	1 (4%)	6 (28%)	14 (64%)	22 (100%)	4.50 (.80)

4. If someone were to report a sexual misconduct to a university official, UOG would take steps to address factors that may have led to the sexual misconduct.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	23 (3%)	45 (7%)	136 (20%)	279 (42%)	185 (28%)	668 (100%)	3.84 (1.02)
Students only	11 (3%)	30 (7%)	89 (22%)	177 (43%)	102 (25%)	409 (100%)	3.80 (.98)
Faculty only	8 (8%)	10 (9%)	15 (14%)	50 (47%)	23 (22%)	106 (100%)	3.66 (1.15)
Staff only	4 (3%)	11 (7%)	35 (24%)	45 (30%)	53 (36%)	148 (100%)	3.89 (1.06)
Admin. only	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (4%)	9 (41%)	12 (55%)	22 (100%)	4.50 (.60)

5. If someone were to report a sexual misconduct to a university official, UOG would take corrective action against the accused.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	31 (5%)	50 (8%)	147 (22%)	264 (40%)	177 (25%)	669 (100%)	3.76 (1.07)
Students only	11 (3%)	30 (7%)	89 (22%)	177 (43%)	102 (25%)	409 (100%)	3.76 (1.02)
Faculty only	9 (9%)	12 (11%)	21 (20%)	46 (43%)	18 (17%)	106 (100%)	3.49 (1.16)
Staff only	8 (5%)	13 (9%)	30 (20%)	45 (30%)	53 (36%)	149 (100%)	3.82 (1.17)
Admin. only	0 (0%)	1 (5%)	2 (9%)	9 (41%)	10 (45%)	22 (100%)	4.27 (.83)

6a. Have you received training in the prevention of sexual assault at UOG?

	Yes	No	Total
UOG Citizens	615 (92%)	56 (8%)	671 (100%)
Students only	385 (94%)	25 (6%)	410 (100%)
Faculty only	98 (93%)	8 (7%)	106 (100%)
Staff only	127 (86%)	21 (14%)	148 (100%)
Admin. only	24	0	24 (100%)

6b. [If answered yes on 13a] How useful do you think was the training you identified and/or described above?

	Not Useful at All	Somewhat Useful	Neither Useful nor Not Useful	Useful	Very Useful	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	25 (4%)	148 (25%)	72 (12%)	249 (41%)	111 (18%)	605 (100%)	3.45 (1.16)
Students only	18 (5%)	87 (23%)	47 (13%)	163 (43%)	61 (16%)	376 (100%)	3.43 (1.15)
Faculty only	5 (5%)	24 (25%)	17 (18%)	38 (39%)	13 (13%)	97 (100%)	3.31 (1.14)
Staff only	8 (6%)	35 (28%)	8 (6%)	42 (34%)	33 (26%)	126 (100%)	3.45 (1.31)
Admin. only	0 (0%)	6 (25%)	3 (13%)	8 (33%)	7 (29%)	24 (100%)	3.67 (1.17)

14. Cases of sexual assault should be reported to the police as well as the University. In the case of other forms of sexual misconduct, if you were a victim of sexual misconduct or knew of someone who was, where would you initially report it to?

	The EEO/ADA Office	An Administrator Like the Dean, Vice President, or President	A Faculty Member	A UOG Staff Member	A non-UOG Official	I don't know where to report cases of sexual assault	Total
UOG Citizens	267 (40%)	149 (23%)	95 (14%)	52 (8%)	43 (6%)	57 (9%)	663 (100%)
Students only	153 (38%)	56 (14%)	84 (20%)	44 (11%)	27 (7%)	42 (10%)	406 (100%)
Faculty only	32 (31%)	46 (44%)	11 (10%)	0 (0%)	7 (7%)	8 (8%)	104 (100%)
Staff only	73 (50%)	44 (30%)	5 (3%)	7 (5%)	8 (5%)	10 (7%)	147 (100%)
Admin. only	18	3	0	0	1	1	23 (100%)

15. Do you feel that you understand UOG's formal procedures to address sexual misconduct?

	Yes	No	Total
UOG Citizens	465 (71%)	191 (29%)	656 (100%)
Students only	283 (70%)	121 (30%)	404 (100%)
Faculty only	74 (71%)	31 (30%)	105 (100%)
Staff only	96 (68%)	45 (32%)	141 (100%)
Admin. only	20 (91%)	2 (9%)	22 (100%)

E. Use and Evaluation of Sources of News and Information About UOG

How often do you use the following sources to obtain news or stay informed about UOG?

1. The UOG.edu web site

	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Very Often	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	25 (4%)	62 (9%)	203 (29%)	234 (33%)	177 (25%)	701 (100%)	3.68 (1.06)
Students only	10 (2%)	38 (9%)	118 (28%)	162 (38%)	99 (23%)	427 (100%)	3.71 (1.00)
Faculty only	9 (8%)	10 (9%)	41 (37%)	29 (26%)	23 (20%)	112 (100%)	3.42 (1.15)
Staff only	6 (4%)	10 (6%)	42 (27%)	34 (22%)	64 (41%)	156 (100%)	3.90 (1.31)
Admin. only	0 (0%)	3 (12%)	7 (29%)	8 (33%)	6 (25%)	24 (100%)	3.71 (1.00)

2. UOG faculty

	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Very Often	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	72 (11%)	155 (23%)	240 (35%)	142 (21%)	71 (10%)	680 (100%)	2.98 (1.13)
Students only	39 (9%)	103 (25%)	153 (37%)	82 (20%)	40 (9%)	417 (100%)	2.95 (1.10)
Faculty only	1 (1%)	10 (9%)	39 (35%)	36 (32%)	25 (23%)	111 (100%)	3.67 (.96)
Staff only	29 (20%)	40 (27%)	43 (29%)	26 (17%)	11 (7%)	149 (100%)	2.66 (1.19)
Admin. only	3 (13%)	5 (22%)	7 (30%)	5 (22%)	3 (13%)	23 (100%)	3.00 (1.24)

3. Other UOG students

	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Very Often	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	55 (8%)	100 (15%)	189 (28%)	188 (28%)	147 (22%)	679 (100%)	3.40 (1.21)
Students only	8 (2%)	29 (7%)	108 (26%)	142 (34%)	131 (31%)	418 (100%)	3.86 (1.00)
Faculty only	12 (11%)	32 (30%)	34 (32%)	21 (20%)	7 (7%)	106 (100%)	2.80 (1.09)
Staff only	31 (21%)	34 (23%)	42 (28%)	25 (16%)	18 (12%)	150 (100%)	2.77 (1.29)
Admin. only	5 (22%)	6 (26%)	6 (26%)	4 (17%)	2 (9%)	23 (100%)	2.65 (1.27)

4. UOG Undergraduate Catalog or Graduate Bulletin

	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Very Often	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	73 (11%)	148 (22%)	236 (34%)	156 (23%)	73 (10%)	686 (100%)	3.01 (1.14)
Students only	30 (7%)	93 (22%)	148 (35%)	104 (25%)	47 (11%)	422 (100%)	3.11 (1.09)
Faculty only	13 (12%)	26 (23%)	37 (33%)	25 (23%)	10 (9%)	111 (100%)	2.94 (1.14)
Staff only	28 (19%)	28 (19%)	41 (28%)	29 (19%)	22 (15%)	148 (100%)	2.93 (1.32)
Admin. only	3 (13%)	5 (22%)	7 (30%)	5 (22%)	3 (13%)	23 (100%)	3.00 (1.24)

5. The Pacific Daily News (or guampdn.com)

	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Very Often	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	71 (10%)	169 (24%)	200 (29%)	149 (22%)	103 (15%)	692 (100%)	3.06 (1.21)
Students only	52 (12%)	125 (30%)	109 (26%)	88 (21%)	48 (11%)	422 (100%)	2.89 (1.20)
Faculty only	9 (8%)	27 (25%)	39 (36%)	23 (21%)	12 (10%)	110 (100%)	3.02 (1.11)
Staff only	12 (7%)	17 (11%)	48 (31%)	34 (22%)	45 (29%)	156 (100%)	3.53 (1.23)
Admin. only	1 (4%)	2 (9%)	7 (30%)	10 (44%)	3 (13%)	23 (100%)	3.52 (.99)

6. The Guam Daily Post (or postguam.com)

	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Very Often	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	158 (23%)	214 (31%)	174 (25%)	84 (12%)	59 (9%)	689 (100%)	2.52 (1.21)
Students only	110 (26%)	140 (33%)	101 (24%)	48 (11%)	23 (6%)	422 (100%)	2.37 (1.15)
Faculty only	20 (18%)	41 (37%)	34 (31%)	10 (9%)	5 (5%)	110 (100%)	2.45 (1.04)
Staff only	31 (20%)	32 (21%)	38 (25%)	23 (15%)	29 (19%)	153 (100%)	2.92 (1.39)
Admin. only	1 (4%)	4 (17%)	8 (35%)	7 (30%)	3 (13%)	23 (100%)	3.30 (1.06)

7. KUAM TV news (or kuam.com)

	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Very Often	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	156 (23%)	195 (28%)	191 (28%)	91 (13%)	57 (8%)	690 (100%)	2.56 (1.21)
Students only	117 (27%)	128 (30%)	100 (24%)	52 (12%)	26 (6%)	423 (100%)	2.39 (1.19)
Faculty only	23 (21%)	40 (36%)	33 (30%)	11 (10%)	3 (3%)	110 (100%)	2.37 (1.01)
Staff only	20 (13%)	25 (16%)	55 (36%)	28 (18%)	25 (17%)	153 (100%)	3.08 (1.24)
Admin. only	2 (9%)	3 (13%)	11 (48%)	4 (17%)	3 (13%)	23 (100%)	3.13 (1.10)

8. PNC TV news (or pacificnewscenter.com)

	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Very Often	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	196 (28%)	214 (31%)	160 (23%)	78 (11%)	40 (6%)	688 (100%)	2.35 (1.17)
Students only	140 (33%)	139 (33%)	87 (21%)	39 (9%)	16 (4%)	421 (100%)	2.17 (1.11)
Faculty only	29 (27%)	39 (36%)	30 (27%)	7 (6%)	4 (4%)	109 (100%)	2.25 (1.04)
Staff only	31 (20%)	37 (24%)	38 (25%)	29 (19%)	18 (12%)	153 (100%)	2.78 (1.29)
Admin. only	2 (9%)	3 (13%)	12 (52%)	5 (22%)	1 (4%)	23 (100%)	3.00 (.95)

9. Facebook

	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Very Often	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	133 (19%)	107 (15%)	184 (27%)	148 (21%)	118 (17%)	690 (100%)	3.02 (1.35)
Students only	49 (12%)	69 (16%)	125 (30%)	94 (22%)	85 (20%)	422 (100%)	3.23 (1.27)
Faculty only	43 (39%)	22 (20%)	20 (18%)	20 (18%)	6 (5%)	111 (100%)	2.32 (1.30)
Staff only	33 (22%)	16 (11%)	38 (25%)	34 (22%)	31 (20%)	152 (100%)	3.09 (1.42)
Admin. only	8 (35%)	2 (9%)	6 (26%)	6 (26%)	1 (4%)	23 (100%)	2.57 (1.34)

10. Twitter

	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Very Often	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	398 (59%)	90 (13%)	82 (12%)	62 (9%)	50 (7%)	682 (100%)	1.94 (1.94)
Students only	207 (49%)	53 (13%)	65 (15%)	51 (12%)	45 (11%)	421 (100%)	2.23 (1.43)
Faculty only	83 (75%)	18 (16%)	4 (4%)	3 (3%)	2 (2%)	110 (100%)	1.39 (.84)
Staff only	98 (66%)	21 (14%)	11 (7%)	11 (8%)	7 (5%)	148 (100%)	1.70 (1.18)
Admin. only	15 (65%)	1 (4%)	4 (18%)	3 (13%)	0 (0%)	23 (100%)	1.78 (1.17)

11. Instagram

	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Very Often	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	330 (48%)	118 (17%)	92 (13%)	73 (11%)	73 (11%)	686 (100%)	2.19 (1.40)
Students only	163 (39%)	76 (18%)	67 (16%)	53 (12%)	63 (15%)	422 (100%)	2.47 (1.47)
Faculty only	79 (72%)	17 (16%)	5 (4%)	8 (7%)	1 (1%)	110 (100%)	1.50 (.95)
Staff only	74 (49%)	30 (20%)	19 (13%)	14 (9%)	13 (9%)	150 (100%)	2.08 (1.33)
Admin. only	12 (52%)	3 (13%)	5 (22%)	2 (9%)	1 (4%)	23 (100%)	2.00 (1.24)

12. News on the radio

	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Very Often	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	118 (17%)	184 (27%)	210 (30%)	115 (17%)	63 (9%)	690 (100%)	2.74 (1.19)
Students only	73 (17%)	119 (28%)	139 (33%)	62 (15%)	28 (7%)	421 (100%)	2.65 (1.13)
Faculty only	21 (19%)	36 (32%)	31 (28%)	15 (13%)	9 (8%)	112 (100%)	2.60 (1.17)
Staff only	24 (16%)	28 (18%)	39 (26%)	37 (24%)	25 (16%)	153 (100%)	3.07 (1.31)
Admin. only	3 (13%)	7 (30%)	3 (13%)	7 (30%)	3 (13%)	23 (100%)	3.00 (1.31)

In your perspective, how reliable are the following as sources of news or information about UOG?

1. The UOG.edu web site

	Not reliable	Somewhat reliable	Neither unreliable nor reliable	Reliable	Very reliable	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	10 (1%)	85 (12%)	45 (6%)	326 (47%)	226 (33%)	692 (100%)	3.97 (1.01)
Students only	5 (1%)	53 (12%)	24 (6%)	202 (48%)	140 (33%)	424 (100%)	3.99 (1.00)
Faculty only	2 (2%)	7 (6%)	13 (12%)	59 (53%)	29 (27%)	110 (100%)	3.96 (.90)
Staff only	4 (3%)	25 (16%)	7 (5%)	62 (40%)	55 (36%)	153 (100%)	3.91 (1.14)
Admin. only	0 (0%)	2 (9%)	0 (0%)	12 (52%)	9 (39%)	23 (100%)	4.22 (.85)

2. UOG faculty

	Not reliable	Somewhat reliable	Neither unreliable nor reliable	Reliable	Very reliable	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	30 (4%)	138 (20%)	109 (16%)	329 (49%)	73 (11%)	679 (100%)	3.41 (1.06)
Students only	15 (4%)	84 (20%)	58 (14%)	212 (50%)	51 (12%)	420 (100%)	3.48 (.99)
Faculty only	1 (1%)	13 (12%)	19 (17%)	67 (61%)	10 (9%)	110 (100%)	3.65 (.84)
Staff only	13 (9%)	39 (27%)	27 (18%)	55 (38%)	12 (8%)	146 (100%)	3.10 (1.15)
Admin. only	1 (5%)	5 (23%)	8 (36%)	6 (27%)	2 (9%)	22 (100%)	3.14 (1.04)

3. Other UOG students

	Not reliable	Somewhat reliable	Neither unreliable nor reliable	Reliable	Very reliable	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	30 (4%)	168 (25%)	156 (23%)	280 (41%)	43 (6%)	677 (100%)	3.20 (1.03)
Students only	9 (2%)	102 (24%)	74 (18%)	206 (49%)	30 (7%)	421 (100%)	3.35 (.99)
Faculty only	99 (8%)	28 (26%)	34 (32%)	34 (32%)	2 (2%)	107 (100%)	2.93 (1.00)
Staff only	11 (7%)	41 (28%)	41 (28%)	43 (30%)	10 (7%)	146 (100%)	3.00 (1.08)
Admin. only	3 (14%)	3 (14%)	7 (32%)	8 (36%)	1 (4%)	22 (100%)	3.05 (1.13)

4. UOG Undergraduate Catalog or Graduate Bulletin

	Not reliable	Somewhat reliable	Neither unreliable nor reliable	Reliable	Very reliable	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	15 (2%)	86 (13%)	94 (14%)	349 (51%)	138 (20%)	682 (100%)	3.75 (.99)
Students only	6 (1%)	62 (15%)	52 (12%)	218 (51%)	85 (20%)	423 (100%)	3.74 (.99)
Faculty only	3 (3%)	5 (5%)	17 (16%)	61 (56%)	22 (20%)	108 (100%)	3.87 (.89)
Staff only	6 (4%)	21 (14%)	28 (19%)	60 (41%)	33 (22%)	148 (100%)	3.63 (1.10)
Admin. only	0 (0%)	1 (4%)	1 (4%)	14 (64%)	6 (28%)	22 (100%)	4.14 (.71)

5. The Pacific Daily News (or guampdn.com)

	Not reliable	Somewhat reliable	Neither unreliable nor reliable	Reliable	Very reliable	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	31 (5%)	158 (23%)	151 (22%)	292 (43%)	54 (8%)	686 (100%)	3.26 (1.04)
Students only	18 (4%)	81 (19%)	103 (24%)	188 (45%)	31 (7%)	421 (100%)	3.32 (1.00)
Faculty only	4 (4%)	40 (37%)	24 (22%)	37 (34%)	3 (3%)	108 (100%)	2.95 (.99)
Staff only	10 (6%)	39 (26%)	25 (16%)	62 (41%)	17 (11%)	153 (100%)	3.24 (1.15)
Admin. only	0 (0%)	2 (9%)	5 (22%)	15 (65%)	1 (4%)	23 (100%)	3.65 (.71)

6. The Guam Daily Post (or postguam.com)

	Not reliable	Somewhat reliable	Neither unreliable nor reliable	Reliable	Very reliable	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	37 (5%)	147 (22%)	206 (30%)	249 (37%)	40 (6%)	679 (100%)	3.16 (1.01)
Students only	23 (5%)	73 (17%)	152 (36%)	152 (36%)	22 (5%)	422 (100%)	3.18 (.93)
Faculty only	3 (3%)	37 (36%)	26 (25%)	34 (33%)	3 (3%)	103 (100%)	2.97 (.97)
Staff only	11 (7%)	37 (24%)	31 (21%)	59 (39%)	13 (9%)	151 (100%)	3.17 (1.12)
Admin. only	0 (0%)	2 (9%)	5 (23%)	14 (64%)	1 (4%)	22 (100%)	3.64 (.73)

7. KUAM TV news (or kuam.com)

	Not reliable	Somewhat reliable	Neither unreliable nor reliable	Reliable	Very reliable	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	30 (4%)	145 (21%)	194 (29%)	262 (39%)	49 (7%)	680 (100%)	3.23 (1.01)
Students only	19 (4%)	70 (17%)	145 (34%)	163 (39%)	25 (6%)	422 (100%)	3.25 (.95)
Faculty only	2 (2%)	39 (37%)	23 (22%)	36 (35%)	4 (4%)	104 (100%)	3.01 (.98)
Staff only	10 (7%)	36 (24%)	28 (18%)	60 (40%)	17 (11%)	151 (100%)	3.25 (1.14)
Admin. only	0 (0%)	2 (8%)	5 (22%)	14 (61%)	2 (9%)	23 (100%)	3.70 (.77)

8. PNC TV news (or pacificnewscenter.com)

	Not reliable	Somewhat reliable	Neither unreliable nor reliable	Reliable	Very reliable	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	38 (6%)	133 (20%)	221 (33%)	246 (37%)	36 (5%)	674 (100%)	3.16 (.99)
Students only	23 (5%)	64 (15%)	164 (39%)	152 (36%)	17 (4%)	420 (100%)	3.18 (.93)
Faculty only	4 (4%)	33 (33%)	29 (29%)	32 (32%)	3 (3%)	101 (100%)	2.97 (.96)
Staff only	11 (7%)	36 (24%)	30 (20%)	60 (40%)	13 (9%)	150 (100%)	3.19 (1.12)
Admin. only	0 (0%)	2 (9%)	6 (27%)	13 (59%)	1 (5%)	22 (100%)	3.59 (.73)

9. Facebook

	Not reliable	Somewhat reliable	Neither unreliable nor reliable	Reliable	Very reliable	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	88 (13%)	180 (27%)	170 (25%)	186 (28%)	53 (8%)	677 (100%)	2.91 (1.17)
Students only	35 (8%)	122 (29%)	99 (23%)	134 (32%)	33 (8%)	423 (100%)	3.02 (1.12)
Faculty only	21 (20%)	26 (25%)	34 (32%)	22 (21%)	2 (2%)	105 (100%)	2.60 (1.09)
Staff only	29 (20%)	33 (22%)	34 (23%)	31 (21%)	21 (14%)	148 (100%)	2.88 (1.34)
Admin. only	0 (0%)	3 (14%)	3 (14%)	9 (43%)	6 (29%)	21 (100%)	2.86 (1.01)

10. Twitter

	Not reliable	Somewhat reliable	Neither unreliable nor reliable	Reliable	Very reliable	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	159 (24%)	130 (20%)	228 (35%)	115 (18%)	27 (4%)	659 (100%)	2.58 (1.15)
Students only	81 (19%)	91 (22%)	140 (33%)	86 (20%)	21 (5%)	419 (100%)	2.70 (1.15)
Faculty only	29 (29%)	19 (19%)	42 (42%)	8 (9%)	1 (1%)	99 (100%)	2.32 (1.11)
Staff only	43 (30%)	21 (15%)	48 (34%)	23 (16%)	7 (5%)	142 (100%)	2.51 (1.22)
Admin. only	5 (26%)	2 (11%)	9 (47%)	3 (16%)	0 (0%)	19 (100%)	2.53 (1.07)

11. Instagram

	Not reliable	Somewhat reliable	Neither unreliable nor reliable	Reliable	Very reliable	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	144 (22%)	144 (22%)	215 (33%)	130 (20%)	29 (3%)	662 (100%)	2.63 (1.15)
Students only	69 (16%)	100 (24%)	132 (31%)	99 (24%)	20 (5%)	420 (100%)	2.76 (1.13)
Faculty only	31 (31%)	19 (19%)	35 (35%)	12 (12%)	2 (2%)	99 (100%)	2.34 (1.11)
Staff only	37 (26%)	25 (17%)	50 (35%)	22 (15%)	9 (6%)	143 (100%)	2.59 (1.21)
Admin. only	5 (25%)	3 (15%)	9 (45%)	3 (15%)	0 (0%)	20 (100%)	2.50 (1.05)

12. News on the radio

	Not reliable	Somewhat reliable	Neither unreliable nor reliable	Reliable	Very reliable	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	39 (6%)	147 (22%)	156 (23%)	276 (41%)	55 (8%)	673 (100%)	3.24 (1.06)
Students only	24 (6%)	83 (20%)	98 (23%)	188 (45%)	28 (7%)	421 (100%)	3.27 (1.03)
Faculty only	4 (4%)	29 (29%)	33 (33%)	31 (31%)	4 (4%)	101 (100%)	3.02 (.96)
Staff only	12 (8%)	31 (21%)	32 (21%)	54 (36%)	20 (13%)	149 (100%)	3.26 (1.17)
Admin. only	0 (0%)	4 (18%)	2 (9%)	15 (68%)	1 (5%)	22 (100%)	3.59 (.85)

F. Student Engagement

1. During the current school year, about how often have you included diverse perspectives (political, religious, racial/ethnic, gender, etc.) in course discussions or assignments?

	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Very Often	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Students only	19 (4%)	50 (12%)	152 (36%)	123 (29%)	77 (18%)	421 (100%)	3.45 (1.06)
Faculty only	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Staff only	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Admin. only	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

2. During the current school year, about how often have you talked about career plans with a faculty member?

	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Very Often	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Students only	43 (10%)	123 (29%)	139 (33%)	72 (17%)	44 (11%)	421 (100%)	2.88 (1.13)
Faculty only	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Staff only	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Admin. only	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

3. During the current school year, to what extent have your instructors provided prompt and detailed feedback on tests or completed assignments?

	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Very Often	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Students only	4 (1%)	36 (9%)	150 (36%)	164 (39%)	67 (16%)	421 (100%)	3.60 (.89)
Faculty only	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Staff only	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Admin. only	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

4. During the current school year, about how often have you prepared for exams by discussing or working through course material with other students?

	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Very Often	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Students only	21 (5%)	34 (8%)	135 (32%)	137 (33%)	94 (22%)	421 (100%)	3.59 (1.07)
Faculty only	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Staff only	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Admin. only	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

G. Preferences Regarding Proposed Solutions to Parking Capacity

1. The University is nearing capacity in its space available for parking, and several programs have been proposed to deal with this issue. Please indicate which of the following programs you would support by ranking your choice in the order of most to least preferred. (Rank your choices by dragging the items in your order of preference, with “1” indicating most preferred and “3” indicating least preferred.)

a. Ride-Share program in which a carpool system is used

	1 = Most Preferred	2	3 = Least Preferred	Total
UOG Citizens	81 (13%)	225 (37%)	311 (50%)	617 (100%)
Students only	52 (13%)	135 (35%)	202 (52%)	389 (100%)
Faculty only	16 (17%)	37 (39%)	43 (45%)	96 (100%)
Staff only	16 (12%)	47 (35%)	71 (53%)	134 (100%)
Admin. only	1 (5%)	7 (39%)	10 (56%)	18 (100%)

b. Park ‘n Ride program in which a shuttle would bring students to campus from an off-site parking area

	1 = Most Preferred	2	3 = Least Preferred	Total
UOG Citizens	132 (22%)	310 (50%)	175 (28%)	617 (100%)
Students only	84 (21%)	201 (52%)	104 (27%)	389 (100%)
Faculty only	23 (24%)	42 (44%)	31 (32%)	96 (100%)
Staff only	22 (16%)	71 (53%)	41 (31%)	134 (100%)
Admin. only	1 (5%)	10 (56%)	7 (39%)	18 (100%)

c. Multi-level covered parking structures on campus

	1 = Most Preferred	2	3 = Least Preferred	Total
UOG Citizens	404 (66%)	82 (13%)	131 (21%)	617 (100%)
Students only	253 (65%)	53 (14%)	83 (21%)	389 (100%)
Faculty only	57 (59%)	17 (18%)	22 (23%)	96 (100%)
Staff only	96 (72%)	16 (12%)	22 (16%)	134 (100%)
Admin. only	16 (89%)	1 (5%)	1 (5%)	18 (100%)

2.

a. Would you be willing to pay an additional fee to fund a Ride-Share program to deal with the parking capacity issue?

	Yes	No	Total
UOG Citizens	140 (21%)	530 (79%)	670 (100%)
Students only	92 (22%)	322 (78%)	427 (100%)
Faculty only	22 (21%)	84 (79%)	106 (100%)
Staff only	28 (19%)	118 (81%)	146 (100%)
Admin. only	19 (14%)	3 (86%)	22 (100%)

b. Would you be willing to pay an additional fee to fund a Park ‘n Ride program in which a shuttle would bring students to campus from an off-site parking area to deal with the parking capacity issue?

	Yes	No	Total
UOG Citizens	241 (36%)	428 (64%)	669 (100%)
Students only	153 (37%)	260 (63%)	413 (100%)
Faculty only	40 (37%)	67 (63%)	107 (100%)
Staff only	48 (33%)	96 (67%)	144 (100%)
Admin. only	6 (27%)	16 (73%)	22 (100%)

c. Would you be willing to pay an additional fee to fund the construction of multi-level parking structures on campus to deal with the parking capacity issue?

	Yes	No	Total
UOG Citizens	380 (57%)	291 (43%)	671 (100%)
Students only	236 (57%)	176 (43%)	412 (100%)
Faculty only	63 (59%)	44 (41%)	107 (100%)
Staff only	77 (52%)	70 (48%)	147 (100%)
Admin. only	19 (83%)	4 (17%)	23 (100%)

H. Preferences for Proposed Food Vendors

1. The current Student Center is set to go under construction and will become the new “Student Success Center.” This facility will provide various student services and will include three cafeteria stalls. We need your help to determine what type of food vendors you prefer. Please tell us what types of food vendors you prefer by ranking the following in the order of most to least preferred. (Rank your choices by dragging the items in your order of preference, with “1” indicating most preferred and “7” indicating least preferred.)

a. Burgers and fries vendor

	1 = Most preferred	2	3	4	5	6	7 = Least preferred	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	69 (11%)	90 (14%)	107 (16%)	106 (16%)	87 (13%)	102 (16%)	91 (14%)	652 (100%)	4.11 (1.92)
Students only	47 (12%)	59 (15%)	72 (18%)	69 (17%)	56 (14%)	54 (13%)	45 (11%)	402 (100%)	3.92 (1.87)
Faculty only	4 (4%)	14 (13%)	13 (12%)	16 (15%)	17 (16%)	21 (20%)	20 (19%)	105 (100%)	4.63 (1.82)
Staff only	18 (13%)	20 (14%)	25 (18%)	21 (15%)	9 (6%)	28 (20%)	20 (14%)	141 (100%)	4.04 (2.01)
Admin. only	2 (10%)	2 (10%)	4 (19%)	3 (14%)	4 (19%)	2 (10%)	4 (19%)	21 (100%)	4.29 (1.95)

b. Pizza vendor

	1 = Most preferred	2	3	4	5	6	7 = Least preferred	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	43 (7%)	81 (12%)	100 (15%)	107 (17%)	101 (15%)	129 (20%)	91 (14%)	652 (100%)	4.37 (1.82)
Students only	32 (8%)	60 (15%)	66 (16%)	74 (18%)	53 (13%)	71 (18%)	46 (11%)	402 (100%)	4.13 (1.83)
Faculty only	6 (6%)	8 (8%)	15 (14%)	5 (22%)	23 (22%)	31 (30%)	17 (16%)	105 (100%)	4.83 (1.78)
Staff only	7 (5%)	13 (9%)	23 (16%)	24 (17%)	24 (17%)	21 (15%)	29 (21%)	141 (100%)	4.59 (1.81)
Admin. only	0 (0%)	1 (5%)	2 (10%)	4 (19%)	4 (19%)	8 (38%)	2 (10%)	21 (100%)	5.05 (1.36)

c. Buffet food choices vendor

	1 = Most preferred	2	3	4	5	6	7 = Least preferred	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	179 (27%)	105 (16%)	92 (14%)	102 (16%)	92 (14%)	43 (7%)	39 (6%)	652 (100%)	3.17 (1.87)
Students only	110 (27%)	65 (56%)	56 (14%)	65 (16%)	55 (14%)	26 (6%)	25 (6%)	402 (100%)	3.17 (1.88)
Faculty only	20 (19%)	20 (19%)	17 (16%)	20 (19%)	12 (11%)	9 (9%)	7 (7%)	105 (100%)	3.37 (1.83)
Staff only	44 (31%)	21 (15%)	19 (14%)	17 (12%)	26 (18%)	7 (5%)	7 (5%)	141 (100%)	3.06 (1.88)
Admin. only	9 (43%)	2 (10%)	1 (5%)	3 (14%)	3 (14%)	1 (5%)	2 (10%)	21 (100%)	3.00 (2.17)

d. Soup and salad vendor

	1 = Most preferred	2	3	4	5	6	7 = Least preferred	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	57 (9%)	82 (13%)	85 (13%)	93 (14%)	114 (17%)	165 (25%)	56 (9%)	652 (100%)	4.29 (1.82)
Students only	17 (4%)	32 (8%)	49 (12%)	54 (13%)	81 (20%)	126 (31%)	43 (11%)	402 (100%)	4.74 (1.64)
Faculty only	21 (20%)	23 (22%)	19 (18%)	16 (15%)	13 (12%)	8 (8%)	5 (5%)	105 (100%)	3.20 (1.76)
Staff only	12 (8%)	22 (16%)	16 (11%)	26 (18%)	22 (16%)	35 (25%)	8 (6%)	141 (100%)	4.14 (1.78)
Admin. only	6 (29%)	4 (19%)	3 (14%)	2 (10%)	2 (10%)	3 (14%)	1 (5%)	21 (100%)	3.14 (2.01)

e. Submarine sandwiches vendor

	1 = Most preferred	2	3	4	5	6	7 = Least preferred	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	72 (11%)	90 (14%)	113 (17%)	112 (17%)	135 (21%)	92 (14%)	38 (6%)	652 (100%)	3.88 (1.73)
Students only	47 (12%)	58 (14%)	66 (16%)	66 (16%)	80 (20%)	54 (13%)	31 (8%)	402 (100%)	3.90 (1.80)
Faculty only	10 (9%)	13 (12%)	16 (15%)	22 (21%)	25 (24%)	14 (13%)	5 (5%)	105 (100%)	3.96 (1.65)
Staff only	16 (11%)	19 (14%)	23 (16%)	24 (17%)	29 (21%)	24 (17%)	6 (4%)	141 (100%)	3.90 (1.73)
Admin. only	0 (0%)	3 (14%)	5 (24%)	5 (24%)	4 (19%)	4 (19%)	0 (0%)	21 (100%)	4.05 (1.36)

f. Express bento box vendor

	1 = Most preferred	2	3	4	5	6	7 = Least preferred	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	161 (25%)	154 (24%)	93 (14%)	83 (13%)	69 (11%)	64 (10%)	28 (4%)	652 (100%)	3.08 (1.83)
Students only	118 (29%)	102 (25%)	55 (14%)	44 (11%)	43 (11%)	30 (7%)	10 (2%)	402 (100%)	2.81 (1.73)
Faculty only	22 (21%)	16 (15%)	16 (15%)	16 (15%)	7 (7%)	14 (13%)	14 (13%)	105 (100%)	3.65 (2.09)
Staff only	27 (19%)	34 (24%)	17 (12%)	21 (15%)	21 (15%)	17 (12%)	4 (3%)	141 (100%)	3.30 (1.80)
Admin. only	2 (10%)	6 (29%)	5 (24%)	4 (19%)	1 (5%)	3 (14%)	0 (0%)	21 (100%)	3.24 (1.55)

g. Vegetarian food vendor

	1 = Most preferred	2	3	4	5	6	7 = Least preferred	Total	Mean (Standard Deviation)
UOG Citizens	71 (11%)	50 (8%)	62 (10%)	49 (7%)	54 (8%)	57 (9%)	309 (47%)	652 (100%)	5.10 (2.21)
Students only	31 (8%)	26 (7%)	38 (10%)	30 (7%)	34 (8%)	41 (10%)	202 (50%)	402 (100%)	5.34 (2.07)
Faculty only	22 (21%)	11 (10%)	9 (9%)	10 (9%)	8 (8%)	8 (8%)	37 (35%)	105 (100%)	4.36 (2.42)
Staff only	17 (12%)	12 (8%)	18 (13%)	8 (6%)	10 (7%)	9 (6%)	67 (48%)	141 (100%)	4.96 (2.29)
Admin. only	2 (10%)	3 (14%)	1 (5%)	0 (0%)	3 (14%)	0 (0%)	12 (57%)	21 (100%)	5.24 (2.34)

References

- Banyard, V. L., Plante, E. G., & Moynihan, M. M. (2005). *Rape prevention through bystander education: Bringing a broader community perspective to sexual violence prevention*. Retrieved June 2, 2016, from <https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/208701.pdf>.
- Dillman, D. A., Smyth, J. D., & Christian, L. M. (2014). *Internet, phone, mail, and mixed-mode surveys: The tailored design method, 4th edition*. New York: Wiley.
- Stanford University. (2015). *Report on the 2015 Stanford Campus Climate Survey, September 2015, Office of the Provost, Office of Institutional Research and Decision Support*. Retrieved March 20, 2016, from https://provost.stanford.edu/sites/default/files/2015_stanford_campus_climate_survey_report.pdf
- The University of Chicago. (2015). *The University of Chicago: Climate Survey Project*. Retrieved March 20, 2016, from <https://csl.uchicago.edu/get-involved/climate-survey-project>

--

Report and analyses completed by Francis Dalisay, Ph.D., July 2017