
Assessing Core Competencies: Results of Critical Thinking Skills Testing

Graduating Seniors, 2016 Fall

University of Guam
Academic and Student Affairs
Office of Academic Assessment and
Institutional Research






Critical Thinking Skills Assessment - Graduating Seniors

MEDIAN Scores

Skill/Attribute	2015		2016		Five-Semester Average	
	2014 Fall	2015 Spring	2015 Fall	2016 Spring		
N	153	251	153	275	172	200.8
OVERALL SCORE	69	71	71	71	71	71
Analysis	70	70	75	70	70	71
Deduction	69	69	69	69	69	69
Evaluation	71	71	67	67	71	69
Explanation	74	74	68	68	68	70
Induction	74	77	77	74	74	75
Inference	72	75	72	75	72	73
Interpretation	74	74	74	74	74	74
Aggregate sample of CCTST Four Year College Students, average percentile score:	29	32	30	31	30	30

MEAN Scores

Skill/Attribute	2015		2016		Five-Semester Average	
	2014 Fall	2015 Spring	2015 Fall	2016 Spring		
N	153	251	153	275	172	200.80
OVERALL SCORE	70.4	71.4	70.8	70.9	70.8	70.86
Analysis	71.9	73.2	73.0	73.0	72.9	72.80
Deduction	69.8	70.8	70.2	70.7	70.6	70.42
Evaluation	69.9	70.2	69.4	69.8	69.8	69.82
Explanation	71.0	71.1	71.1	71.1	70.8	71.02
Induction	75.3	76.1	75.7	75.3	75.1	75.50
Inference	72.9	74.5	73.4	73.6	73.1	73.50
Interpretation	75.8	76.7	77.2	76.4	76.4	76.50
Aggregate sample of CCTST Four Year College Students, average percentile score:	29	32	30	31	30	30



OVERALL

The Reasoning Skills Overall score describes overall strength in using reasoning to form reflective judgments about what to believe or what to do. High Overall scores are attained by test takers who excel in the sustained, focused and integrated application of core thinking skills measured on this test, including analysis, interpretation, inference, evaluation, explanation, induction and deduction. The Overall score predicts the capacity for success in educational or workplace settings which demand reasoned decision making and thoughtful problem solving.

INDUCTION

Decision making in contexts of uncertainty relies on inductive reasoning. We use inductive reasoning skills when we draw inferences about what we think is probably true based on analogies, case studies, prior experience, statistical analyses, simulations, hypotheticals, and patterns recognized in familiar objects, events, experiences and behaviors. As long as there is the possibility, however remote, that a highly probable conclusion might be mistaken even though the evidence at hand is unchanged, the reasoning is inductive. Although it does not yield certainty, inductive reasoning can provide a confident basis for solid belief in our conclusions and a reasonable basis for action.

EXPLANATION

Explanatory reasoning skills, when exercised prior to making a final decision about what to believe or what to do, enable us to describe the evidence, reasons, methods, assumptions, standards or rationale for those decisions, opinions, beliefs and conclusions. Strong explanatory skills enable people to discover, to test and to articulate the reasons for beliefs, events, actions and decisions.

INTERPRETATION

Interpretative skills are used to determine the precise meaning and significance of a message or signal, whether it is a gesture, sign, set of data, written or spoken words, diagram, icon, chart or graph. Correct interpretation depends on understanding the message in its context and in terms of who sent it, and for what purpose. Interpretation includes clarifying what something or someone means, grouping or categorizing information, and determining the significance of a message.

INFERENCE

Inference skills enable us to draw conclusions from reasons and evidence. We use inference when we offer thoughtful suggestions and hypotheses. Inference skills indicate the necessary or the very probable consequences of a given set of facts and conditions. Conclusions, hypotheses, recommendations or decisions that are based on faulty analyses, misinformation, bad data or biased evaluations can turn out to be mistaken, even if they have been reached using excellent inference skills.

EVALUATION

Evaluative reasoning skills enable us to assess the credibility of sources of information and the claims they make. And, we use these skills to determine the strength or weakness of arguments. Applying evaluation skills we can judge the quality of analyses, interpretations, explanations, inferences, options, opinions, beliefs, ideas, proposals, and decisions. Strong explanation skills can support high quality evaluation by providing the evidence, reasons, methods, criteria, or assumptions behind the claims made and the conclusions reached.

ANALYSIS

Analytical reasoning skills enable people to identify assumptions, reasons and claims, and to examine how they interact in the formation of arguments. We use analysis to gather information from charts, graphs, diagrams, spoken language and documents. People with strong analytical skills attend to patterns and to details. They identify the elements of a situation and determine how those parts interact. Strong interpretation skills can support high quality analysis by providing insights into the significance of what a person is saying or what something means.

DEDUCTION

Decision making in precisely defined contexts where rules, operating conditions, core beliefs, values, policies, principles, procedures and terminology completely determine the outcome depends on strong deductive reasoning skills. Deductive reasoning moves with exacting precision from the assumed truth of a set of beliefs to a conclusion which cannot be false if those beliefs are true. Deductive validity is rigorously logical and clear-cut. Deductive validity leaves no room for uncertainty, unless one alters the meanings of words or the grammar of the language.



Customer: Univ Guam - Assessment
 Test/Survey: California Critical Thinking Skills Test - 10.1.10
 Report Date: 11/16/2016 2:34:43 AM
 Assignment: [REDACTED] 2016 Fall [REDACTED] Senior CCTST testing

California Critical Thinking Skills Test (CCTST). The CCTST measures the reasoning skills human beings use in the process of reflectively deciding what to believe or what to do.

Skill/Attribute Name	N	Mean	Median	Standard Deviation	SE Mean
OVERALL	172	70.8	71	6.1	0.5
Analysis	172	72.9	70	7.7	0.6
Interpretation	172	76.3	74	8.3	0.6
Inference	172	73.1	72	6.5	0.5
Evaluation	172	69.8	71	7.4	0.6
Explanation	172	70.7	68	8.8	0.7
Induction	172	75.1	74	6.4	0.5
Deduction	172	70.6	69	6.7	0.5

Skill/Attribute Name	Minimum	Maximum	Quartile 1	Quartile 3
OVERALL	57	92	67	75
Analysis	55	95	66	80
Interpretation	61	100	68	81
Inference	55	92	69	78
Evaluation	55	88	63	75
Explanation	55	100	63	74
Induction	58	97	71	79
Deduction	56	90	66	74


Based on the distribution of the overall score percentiles for the test takers in this group, as compared to an aggregate sample of CCTST Four Year College Students, the average percentile score of this group of test takers is 30.



Customer: Univ Guam - Assessment
 Test/Survey: California Critical Thinking Skills Test - 10.1.10
 Report Date: 11/16/2016 2:34:43 AM
 Assignment: [REDACTED] 2016 Fall [REDACTED] Senior CCTST testing

Descriptive Information: OVERALL

N	Mean	Median	Standard Deviation	SE Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Quartile 1	Quartile 3
172	70.8	71.0	6.1	0.5	57	92	67.0	75.0



The Reasoning Skills Overall score describes overall strength in using reasoning to form reflective judgments about what to believe or what to do. High Overall scores are attained by test takers who excel in the sustained, focused and integrated application of core thinking skills measured on this test, including analysis, interpretation, inference, evaluation, explanation, induction and deduction. The Overall score predicts the capacity for success in educational or workplace settings which demand reasoned decision making and thoughtful problem solving.


The descriptive information reported below indicates strengths and weaknesses in specific areas. These results are useful for understanding group characteristics, for comparing and contrasting similar groups on specific attributes or skills, and for guiding the development of more targeted educational or training programs.



Customer: Univ Guam - Assessment
 Test/Survey: California Critical Thinking Skills Test - 10.1.10
 Report Date: 11/16/2016 2:34:43 AM
 Assignment: [REDACTED] 2016 Fall [REDACTED] Senior CCTST testing

Descriptive Information: Analysis

N	Mean	Median	Standard Deviation	SE Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Quartile 1	Quartile 3
172	72.9	70.0	7.7	0.6	55	95	66.0	80.0




Analytical reasoning skills enable people to identify assumptions, reasons and claims, and to examine how they interact in the formation of arguments. We use analysis to gather information from charts, graphs, diagrams, spoken language and documents. People with strong analytical skills attend to patterns and to details. They identify the elements of a situation and determine how those parts interact. Strong interpretation skills can support high quality analysis by providing insights into the significance of what a person is saying or what something means.



Customer: Univ Guam - Assessment
 Test/Survey: California Critical Thinking Skills Test - 10.1.10
 Report Date: 11/16/2016 2:34:43 AM
 Assignment: [REDACTED] 2016 Fall [REDACTED] Senior CCTST testing

Descriptive Information: Interpretation

N	Mean	Median	Standard Deviation	SE Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Quartile 1	Quartile 3
172	76.3	74.0	8.3	0.6	61	100	68.0	81.0




Interpretative skills are used to determine the precise meaning and significance of a message or signal, whether it is a gesture, sign, set of data, written or spoken words, diagram, icon, chart or graph. Correct interpretation depends on understanding the message in its context and in terms of who sent it, and for what purpose. Interpretation includes clarifying what something or someone means, grouping or categorizing information, and determining the significance of a message.



Customer: Univ Guam - Assessment
 Test/Survey: California Critical Thinking Skills Test - 10.1.10
 Report Date: 11/16/2016 2:34:43 AM
 Assignment: [REDACTED] 2016 Fall [REDACTED] Senior CCTST testing

Descriptive Information: Inference

N	Mean	Median	Standard Deviation	SE Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Quartile 1	Quartile 3
172	73.1	72.0	6.5	0.5	55	92	69.0	78.0




Inference skills enable us to draw conclusions from reasons and evidence. We use inference when we offer thoughtful suggestions and hypotheses. Inference skills indicate the necessary or the very probable consequences of a given set of facts and conditions. Conclusions, hypotheses, recommendations or decisions that are based on faulty analyses, misinformation, bad data or biased evaluations can turn out to be mistaken, even if they have been reached using excellent inference skills.



Customer: Univ Guam - Assessment
 Test/Survey: California Critical Thinking Skills Test - 10.1.10
 Report Date: 11/16/2016 2:34:43 AM
 Assignment: [REDACTED] 2016 Fall [REDACTED] Senior CCTST testing

Descriptive Information: Evaluation

N	Mean	Median	Standard Deviation	SE Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Quartile 1	Quartile 3
172	69.8	71.0	7.4	0.6	55	88	63.0	75.0




Evaluative reasoning skills enable us to assess the credibility of sources of information and the claims they make. And, we use these skills to determine the strength or weakness of arguments. Applying evaluation skills we can judge the quality of analyses, interpretations, explanations, inferences, options, opinions, beliefs, ideas, proposals, and decisions. Strong explanation skills can support high quality evaluation by providing the evidence, reasons, methods, criteria, or assumptions behind the claims made and the conclusions reached.



Customer: Univ Guam - Assessment
 Test/Survey: California Critical Thinking Skills Test - 10.1.10
 Report Date: 11/16/2016 2:34:43 AM
 Assignment: [REDACTED] 2016 Fall [REDACTED] Senior CCTST testing

Descriptive Information: Explanation

N	Mean	Median	Standard Deviation	SE Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Quartile 1	Quartile 3
172	70.7	68.0	8.8	0.7	55	100	63.0	74.0




Explanatory reasoning skills, when exercised prior to making a final decision about what to believe or what to do, enable us to describe the evidence, reasons, methods, assumptions, standards or rationale for those decisions, opinions, beliefs and conclusions. Strong explanatory skills enable people to discover, to test and to articulate the reasons for beliefs, events, actions and decisions.



Customer: Univ Guam - Assessment
 Test/Survey: California Critical Thinking Skills Test - 10.1.10
 Report Date: 11/16/2016 2:34:43 AM
 Assignment: [REDACTED] 2016 Fall [REDACTED] Senior CCTST testing

Descriptive Information: Induction

N	Mean	Median	Standard Deviation	SE Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Quartile 1	Quartile 3
172	75.1	74.0	6.4	0.5	58	97	71.0	79.0




Decision making in contexts of uncertainty relies on inductive reasoning. We use inductive reasoning skills when we draw inferences about what we think is probably true based on analogies, case studies, prior experience, statistical analyses, simulations, hypotheticals, and patterns recognized in familiar objects, events, experiences and behaviors. As long as there is the possibility, however remote, that a highly probable conclusion might be mistaken even though the evidence at hand is unchanged, the reasoning is inductive. Although it does not yield certainty, inductive reasoning can provide a confident basis for solid belief in our conclusions and a reasonable basis for action.



Customer: Univ Guam - Assessment
 Test/Survey: California Critical Thinking Skills Test - 10.1.10
 Report Date: 11/16/2016 2:34:43 AM
 Assignment: [REDACTED] 2016 Fall [REDACTED] Senior CCTST testing

Descriptive Information: Deduction

N	Mean	Median	Standard Deviation	SE Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Quartile 1	Quartile 3
172	70.6	69.0	6.7	0.5	56	90	66.0	74.0



Decision making in precisely defined contexts where rules, operating conditions, core beliefs, values, policies, principles, procedures and terminology completely determine the outcome depends on strong deductive reasoning skills. Deductive reasoning moves with exacting precision from the assumed truth of a set of beliefs to a conclusion which cannot be false if those beliefs are true. Deductive validity is rigorously logical and clear-cut. Deductive validity leaves no room for uncertainty, unless one alters the meanings of words or the grammar of the language.